Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,918	GUILLOU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew T. Henning	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: Response to Non-final submitted		
(1) <u>Matthew T. Henning</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Brush</u> .	<b>(4)</b>	
Date of Interview: <u>13 August 2007</u>	Time: <u>10:30 AM EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A	·	
Claims discussed: N/A	, , ,	
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner telephoned the applicants' representative, to discuss the claim language of claims 25 and 40, and was informed that he will be out of the office until the 27 <sup>th</sup> of August.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	,	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		